



www.eti.co.uk

Abated Gas Power

The Critical Contribution of CCS to the Future Power System

Andrew Green



©2017 Energy Technologies Institute LLP

The information in this document is the property of Energy Technologies Institute LLP and may not be copied or communicated to a third party, or used for any purpose other than that for which it is supplied without the express written consent of Energy Technologies Institute LLP.

This information is given in good faith based upon the latest information available to Energy Technologies Institute LLP, no warranty or representation is given concerning such information, which must not be taken as establishing any contractual or other commitment binding upon Energy Technologies Institute LLP or any of its subsidiary or associated companies.





- Energy System Analysis the importance of CCS
- UK Power System the value of CCS
- CCS in the UK some recent history and development of the ETI's current programme
- Addressing the credibility of the cost base: the ETI's Thermal Power with CCS -Generic Business Case Project
- Conclusions and next steps





ENERGY SYSTEM ANALYSIS – THE IMPORTANCE OF CCS





integrating power, heat, transport and infrastructure providing national / regional system designs









UK ENERGY SYSTEM TRANSITION



NO ONE TECHNOLOGY IS THE ANSWER

We need to develop a complementary basket of key technologies – the energy system transition does not depend on new revolutionary ideas, more the development, commercialisation and integration of known but currently underdeveloped technologies



ANY LOW CARBON TRANSITION SHOULD INCLUDE CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE AND BIOENERGY

Including them halves the cost of meeting UK climate change targets

- REPLACEMENT NUCLEAR
- 2 EFFICIENCY MEASURES
- 3 ENERGY FROM WASTE

Immediate large scale development focus should be on replacement nuclear, efficiency measures and generating energy from waste



1-2%

GDP IN 2050 The UK can afford a 35 year transition to a low carbon economy – the cost of transition is in the range of 1-2% GDP in 2050

The CCS Programme has consistently remained a central part of the ETI's activities over its 10 years of Innovation







UK POWER SYSTEM – THE VALUE OF CCS











BASELOAD

- Bullet Proof
- Dependable
- Large

Nuclear, Coal sometimes gas



FLEXIBLE

- Ready for action
- Flexible Role
- Multiple Skills

Coal and Gas



INTERMITTENT

- Clean
- Less predictable
- Public favourite

Wind, Solar







BASELOAD

- Bullet Proof
- Dependable
- Large





FLEXIBLE

- Ready for action
- Flexible Role
- Multiple Skills



Position by 2025 - 2030



INTERMITTENT

- Clean
- Less predictable
- Public favourite

Wind, Solar







- Need to keep the lights on and halve the carbon intensity of generation by 2030
- Oldest gas, coal and nuclear shutting between now and 2025
- New nuclear (Hinkley Point) just about starting out up and running in the late 2020s
- Steady increase in intermittent renewables
 - Remaining gas fleet likely to move towards lower load factors backing up intermittents
- Increasing requirement for reliable, high merit order, dispatchable power
 - New build, unabated gas? Proving difficult to get away and too much means too high carbon intensity
 - Interconnectors with Europe? Brexit impact??
 - Energy storage? Possibly help daily fluctuations, but what if high pressure sits over the UK for several days?
- Key opportunity for gas with CCS and we need many 1000's of MW by the late 2020s







- Analysis undertaken by Baringa Partners
- Uses their 'Reference Case' assumes development of the fleet without major new policy interventions
- Not compliant with 5th Carbon Budget







- 3GW of gas with CCS added to the fleet no consequential reductions in other generating capacity
- CCS operates at near baseload but reduces output in instances of low demand/high wind



Two Policy Challenges









For a notional 1GW capacity investment in OSW+CCGT (Strategy A) and abated CCGT (Strategy B)







CCS IN THE UK – SOME RECENT HISTORY

...and how the ETI has responded

The UK – moving into a leadership position (2015 slide)



- Two major projects going through consenting and engineering design, with £1Bn government capital support:
- Peterhead
 - NE Scotland
 - Retrofit of gas station with post combustion CCS
 - Uses an existing gas pipeline to transport CO2 to a depleted gas reservoir (Goldeneye)
 - Led by Shell (capture and storage)
- White Rose
 - New coal fired unit based at Drax Power Station
 - Oxyfuel capture
 - New, oversized pipeline
 - Storage in large saline aquifer (Endurance)
 - Led by Capture Power Ltd (Alstom, Drax & BOC)
 - National Grid providing transport & storage
- ETI launched its 'Thermal Power with CCS Project'
 - Development of a low cost, low risk 'Phase 2' project utilising infrastructure created in one of the above





Pictures courtesy Shell & Capture Power Limited

The UK – moving into a leadership position energy (2015 slide) technologies institute Two major projects going h consenting and engineering design, wit rnment capital support: Peterhead NE Scotlan Retrofit of ga Uses an existing depleted gas reserve Led by Shell (capture and White Rose New coal fired unit bas Oxyfuel capture New, oversize Storage in Led by Captu ax & BOC) National Grid p storage with CCS Project' ETI launched its 'Therma Development of a low cost, low risk 'Phase 2' project

Pictures courtesy Shell & Capture Power Limited

utilising infrastructure created in one of the above



Cost reduction – Key drivers



- Post-November 2105 ETI focussed its attention on how the apparently high costs of CCS could be reduced – and what a first commercial plant might look like
- Scale
 - reduce infrastructure cost/MW
- Location
 - minimise overall connection costs
 - Access to low cost, welldeveloped storage
 - Clustering to further enhance benefits of scale
- Technology
 - Use of proven technologies reduces risk and cost of capital



From 'ETI Insights Report 'Reducing the Cost of CCS'

http://www.eti.co.uk/insights/reducing-the-cost-of-ccs-developments-in-capture-plant-technology







LOWEST COST DECARBONESATION FOR THE UK: THE CRITICAL ROLE OF CCE Argent to the Sorotene durate to themisters, forming and helatiful Vicing Trans the Patieneroitry Addrong Grang an Calibria Cysteria calibrage TCM Suprement Title

Key Messages from Oxburgh	ETI View
CCS has enormous value because it addresses multiple sectors	Fully aligned with ETI analysis
Need to build around clusters to get value for money	Fully aligned with ETI analysis
Power first – and it should be large scale gas with CCS	Fully aligned with ETI analysis
CCS can deliver £85/MWhr Strike Price from the word go	Challenging, but not impossible depending on the project – and the business model. Need more robust cost data to back this up
Requires government-owned 'Devco(s)' for both capture and storage	The logic is clear – but is it a step too far for government? Is there still a potential industry-led model which could work?

http://www.ccsassociation.org/news-and-events/reports-and-publications/parliamentary-advisory-group-on-ccs-report/



Is £85/MWhr achievable?

95

90

Strike Price (£/MWh) 52 08 58

70



- ETI has developed an investment model to indicate potential strike prices
- Cost base from earlier work by the ETI
- Depending on assumptions, ~£75 – 95/MWhr is achievable
- Health warnings:
 - Cost base from relatively high level design & costing by Amec Foster Wheeler
 - Numbers sensitive to assumed rates of return and gas price



■ CfD (12% IRR) ■ CfD (15% IRR) ■ CfD (17% IRR)





- Scheme Revenue = A + B + C + D
- Where:
 - A is the baseload operation revenue (from CfD)
 - Should not directly compare CCS Strike Price with Offshore Wind and Nuclear – CCS has reduced system integration and balancing costs
 - B is additional revenue from unabated operation
 - Extra 0.5GW generation in situations of high demand
 - C is additional revenue from grid ancillary services
 - e.g. short term operating reserve
 - D is wider revenue and option value (e.g. enabling industrial CCS, EOR)





ADDRESSING THE CREDIBILITY OF THE COST BASE





Thermal Power with CCS - Generic Business Case

The key objective of the Project is to enhance the evidence base on the **realistic cost and performance** of a large scale, low-risk CCGT with CCS Scheme, with such cost and performance being **convincing to a wide range of stakeholders**. This will be achieved by bringing together best available design information and benchmarking data for such a Scheme. More specifically the Project will:

- Produce an outline power scheme and template CCGT plant specification;
- Identify the most promising location options, capable of development of a large scale (ultimately 2GW plus) Gas CCGT with CCS project, which minimises development cost/risk and transport & storage costs;
- Develop **robust P50 and P90 total project costs** for a 'template' CCGT with best-inclass amine, post-combustion CCS, located at the selected locations, benchmarked against actual project costs. Produce probabilistic cost models of the complete Scheme costs;
- Determine realistic operating costs for such a Scheme, taking into account its likely operation within a future energy system.





- We are looking at a scheme that is (or has a planned trajectory to develop) a large scale, 2 – 3 GW power with CCS scheme
- Why so big?
- Strike Price 'maximises' economies of scale (particularly for T&S)
- Strategic, low carbon, dispatchable UK power asset
 - Similar scale to Hinkley Point C?
 - ~ 4 6% of UK power demand
- Substantial, guaranteed gas demand for suppliers (~ 300 450 Mscf/d)
- Significant, reliable CO_2 source to establish onshore hub (6 10 Mtpa CO_2)





- SNC-Lavalin Prime Contractor
 - Matt Wills (Project Manager)
 - Kannan Sreenivasan (Chief Technologist)
 - Andrew Collinson (Power Industry Consultant)
- AECOM Subcontractor (Site Selection)
 - Andy Cross (Site selection & consenting)
- University of Sheffield Energy 2050 -Subcontractor (Policy Advice & Peer Review)
 - Matthew Billson (Policy advice)
 - Jon Gibbins/Mohammed Pourkashanian (Technical challenge)



ΑΞΟΟΜ





Template Plant Specification





- Based on 5 x latest, largest H/J Class GT nominal 500MWe
- 5 individual trains (GT+ST+Generator+Absorber+Stripper+Compressor) only cooling/services shared
 - Provides 'chunky' flexibility
 - Individual units align with 'largest proven'
- Capture plant based on 'best in class' engineered amine solvent (e.g. Cansolv)
 - Based on scale up of published Peterhead design
- Total output ~ 3.5GW unabated, 3.0 GW abated
- Full chain costs estimates will be provided for 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 train schemes



- Identify Search Regions
- Identify potential brownfield sites (long-list)
- Assess potentially available site area

Site Selection (2)

- Site scoring using GIS model
- Ranking and down-selection of potential brownfield and greenfield sites (short-list)
- Development assessment for short-listed sites
- Identification of 'preferred sites' for each region
- One representative site used for region-specific costing

- Much greater level of detail than 'conventional' study estimates does not rely of factored estimates
- Access to directly relevant cost information from 'as built' plant and firm EPC quotes
- Detailed consideration of margins/contingencies/risk factors from EPC and owners' perspectives
- Site-specific costing for each region
 - Ground/local conditions
 - Gas, cooling water, electricity and CO₂ connections
 - Transport & Injection into selected store for each region
- 5-4-3-2-1 trains (where possible)
 - some regions limited to 3 trains for various reasons

- Complete review of capital cost estimate
- Complete work on operating costs
 - Working on detailed cost breakdown, not just factored estimates
 - Considering how operating in the market might impact the operating costs (e.g. start up/ shut down
- Further work on dispatch analysis nearing conclusion will inform opex work
- ETI will undertake financial modelling to reassess potential strike process/commercial returns
- ETI will publish summary report in late Q3/early Q4, with further detail to follow in 2018

CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS

- CCS can bring substantial value to the UK and potential investors in support of the UK meeting its CO₂ reduction targets
- Gas power with CCS can be a competitive low carbon electricity source, but can also provide a unique contribution to the power mix which adds significant value beyond a simple strike price comparison with other technologies
- The ETI is delivering a comprehensive evidence base on the realistic cost and performance of a large scale, low-risk CCGT with CCS Scheme, which the ETI believes will be convincing to a wide range of stakeholders
- The ultimate value of CCS will come from its application across multiple sectors and applications, but ETI analysis shows that this should be led by the implementation of large scale, power with CCS, to provide investable initial projects, meet UK needs for a reliable, low carbon power system and provide the necessary scale and reliability of CO₂ supply to develop Transport and Storage infrastructure
- The challenge now is commercial not technical to develop a first commercial gas with CCS power scheme

- The ETI CCS Programme will be largely completed by the end of 2017 we will have completed our 10 year mandate
- We believe that this work will provide a blueprint and compelling evidence for government and industry stakeholders for a large scale, strategically important, first commercial gas power with CCS scheme in the UK
- Furthermore we believe that, with the appropriate support package and learning the lessons from previous CCS competitions, an industry-led, full chain CCS project could become a reality
 - We are working with our public and private sector members and other stakeholders to achieve this

Registered Office Energy Technologies Institute Holywell Building Holywell Park Loughborough LE11 3UZ

For all general enquiries telephone the ETI on 01509 202020

_	-

For more information about the ETI visit www.eti.co.uk

For the latest ETI news and announcements email info@eti.co.uk

The ETI can also be followed on Twitter @the_ETI

