

Output Review Structure

Dedicated website – No / Yes, updated / Yes, not updated / Broken Link

Organisation webpage – No / Yes, updated / Yes, not updated / Broken Link

Centralised portal – No / ENA Smarter Networks / Other

Objectives/Success Criteria – Yes/No

Closedown/final report – No / Yes

Open-source data – No / Yes

Peer-reviewed academic output (Primary Subject / Referenced) - # / #

Brochures/Case Studies/Videos – Yes/No

On-line major conference/event presentations - #

Dissemination Event / Output available – # / #

Follow-on project – No / Yes

Consumer Engagement

Consumer Participation – No

Consumer Feedback – No

Additional comments: methods used for feedback, etc.

Output Summary (if applicable)

Progress reports – Yes/No

Detailed and objective final report – Yes/No

Project method detailed – Yes/Limited/No

Performance to objectives detailed – Yes/Limited/No

Lessons learned identified – Yes/Limited/No

Policy/Regulation implications reviewed – Yes/No

Additional comments: Ease of finding output, clear contacts, ease of understanding of scope and replication, specific policy or regulation challenges

Outcomes vs. Objectives/Targets

Performance to objectives – All/mostly/partially/limited/none achieved or not defined

Additional comments: Does closeout report make reference to initial objectives and were objectives met.

Key Findings (if applicable, target 4-8)

Summary of key findings (technology integration and operation, data acquisition and analysis, performance assessment, impact, public engagement, etc.). (Based on findings discernible from primary output executive summary or main conclusion section.)